

PPM ACCESS Access to the PPM Journal and newsletters is FREE for clinicians. SIGNUP ➡ Print Signup for PPM

11 Articles in Volume 18, Issue #8 ■× Challenges & Opportunities for Pain Manaş

Challenges & Opportunities for Pain Management In Veterans Chronic Pain and Psychopathology in the Veteran and Disadvantaged Populations ESIs: Worth the Benefits? Letters to the Editor: Recovery Centers Reject MAT, Cannabis for Chronic Headaches, Central Pain Medication Management in the Aging Pain Management in the Elderly Pharmacists as Essential Team Members in Pain Management Photobiomodulation for the Treatment of Fibromyalgia Plantar Fasciitis: Diagnosis and Management Slipping Rib Syndrome: A Case Report What types of risk screening tests are available to clinicians prescribing opioid therapy?

Photobiomodulation for the Treatment of Fibromyalgia

In this case presentation and protocol review, the authors report on patient outcome after using low-level light therapy. By Timothy J. Demchak, PhD, ATC, LAT (/author/28938/demchak), McCall Christian, DAT, ATC (/author/28939/christian) and Breanna Fagg, RN Candidate (/author/28940/fagg)

Fibromyalgia Syndrome (FS) is a chronic pain syndrome, affecting approximately 5 million Americans (2 to 5% of adults and up to 6% of school-age children).¹ In the pediatric population, the typical diagnosis of Juvenile Primary Fibromyalgia Syndrome (JPFS) is usually confirmed around 12 years of age. Adults receive a diagnosis of FS by meeting the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) diagnostic criteria, which includes a combined widespread pain index and symptom severity score of 12 or greater, symptoms that have been consistently present for at least three months, and no other confirmed disorder that explains the pain.^{1–3}

Fibromyalgia is thought to affect neural stimulation leading to a decrease in blood flow due to a vasoconstriction in blood vessels.⁴ Recent evidence supports one of two theories to explain the etiology: damage to small sensory nerve fibers similar to Small Fiber Polyneuropathy or hyperexcitable C nociceptors.^{5,6}

Patients with FS commonly experience diminished strength, decreased upper body flexibility, poor balance, loss of coordination, and reduced endurance ⁷ Usual treatment for this syndrome has included: pharmacological interventions, cognitive behavior therapy, myofascial release, TENS, and exercise.^{8, 9}

Photobiomodulation for the Treatment of Fibromyalgia

The average medical cost to treat an adult fibromyalgia patient (https://www.practicalpainmanagement.com/pain/myofascial/fibromyalgia/fibromyalgia-

chronic-fatigue-chronic-fatigue-syndrome) in the United States between 2002 and 2005 was \$9573 ± \$20,135 annually.¹⁰ And yet,

pharmacologics for individuals with FS appear to reduce pain at best by half, suggesting an urgent need to identify safe, affordable,

effective, non-pharmacological treatments for the syndrome. One such example, photobiomodulation (PBM), reportedly decreases pain

enough to support an increase in activity levels in fibromyalgia syndrome patients.¹¹⁻¹³ As such, PBM may be a viable alternative to be employed to improve symptom relief in patients with fibromyalgia.

To provide greater appreciation for PBM, the authors describe a successful at-home approach employing this management strategy to treat a patient diagnosed with fibromyalgia. In addition, the authors explain a possible physiological mechanism for the beneficial effect that photobiomodulation may have in fibromyalgia syndrome.



(https://www.practicalpainmanagement.com/sites/default/files/imagecache/lightboxlarge/images/2018/11/06/casestudy02.jpg)

The Case

A 46-year-old Caucasian woman diagnosed with FS 14 years ago was referred to our rehabilitation clinic. The patient was screened during

initial evaluation using the ACR's Preliminary Diagnostic Criteria to confirm her diagnosis.^{1,14} She reported a widespread pain index (WPI) of 13 out of 19 possible locations including the: left shoulder girdle, left upper arm, left lower arm, left and right buttock, upper leg, lower leg, neck, chest, upper and lower back. The patient had a symptom and severity score of 9 out of 12.

The patient completed the Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire (FIQ) to establish baseline measures.^{15,16} The FIQ provides for an assessment of how a patient's fibromyalgia pain has been affecting activities of daily living and the ability to complete tasks. These tasks may include: the ability to grocery shop, do laundry, prepare meals, wash dishes, vacuum, make beds, walk several blocks, visit with friends or relatives, complete yard work, drive a car, and climb stairs. Additionally, the FIQ includes a measurement of pain, fatigue, anxiety and depression using a visual analog scale (VAS).

The patient did not have any of the contraindications photobiomodulation therapy including active cancer, pacemaker, and being pregnant. The patient was presented with the option of treatment with PBM and discussed the positive research results to date, after which the patient agreed to try this new therapy.

Treatment Approach

A TQ-Solo device (Multi Radiance Medical, Solon, OH: 15W, SPL 905nm; IR 875nm; Red 670mn; variable frequency 1000-3000Hz; Beam spot size 4cm2, 15 mW/cm2; 4.7 J/cm2) was used to treat the patient. Each identified fibromyalgia painful point (n = 13) was treated with PBM for two minutes using the variable setting for total of 26 minutes; the variable setting was used to inhibit pain. The

Photobiomodulation for the Treatment of Fibromyalgia

patient reported an immediate decrease in pain at each treated site. (Note: The TQ-Solo was chosen primarily because it was the only handheld device that was available to the patient for at-home use (https://www.practicalpainmanagement.com/treatments/complementary).) Since the reduction in pain was deemed successful, the patient received education on the use of the TQ-Solo equipment in order to self-treat at home. She was advised to apply treatment to each painful site for 2 minutes once per day, as needed. The patient was instructed not to treat any site for more than 12 days in a row to avoid overtreatment, which could produce an exasperation of symptoms. The patient documented her pain levels using the Brief Pain Inventory (BPI) daily, tracked her physical activity, and completed the FIQ once

per week to monitor the effectiveness of the treatments.¹⁵ She was scheduled for a follow-up visit every two weeks. During the follow-up visits her BPI, FIQ, and physical activity logs were reviewed. The treatment protocol was adjusted based on her reported outcomes. For example, the patient noticed an increase in pain symptoms just prior to a storm system moving into the area where she lives.

Ongoing Management and Monitoring

After the first four days of consecutive at-home PBM treatment, the patient reported a reduction in pain from 8 out of 10 to 0 out of 10 (see Figure 1) and her WPI decreased from 13 out of 19 to 0 out of 19. Additionally, her FIQ score decreased from 75 out of 100 to 31 out of 100. The patient reported one caveat—an increase in pain when a storm system moved through the area at the end of the first week—for which the laser therapy had no effect. However, after the storm moved out of the area, the PBM treatments again were effective at reducing her pain and she returned to her prestorm physical activity levels. We instructed the patient to treat 4 to 6 of her typically worst painful sites prophylactically when she knew a storm was coming, which proved effective in reducing the pain flares that occurred during subsequent storms.

The patient reported improvement in her activity levels; in particular, she stated an ability to pick vegetables from her garden, swim in a lake, and walk five blocks with her daughter. Her level of activity continued to increase after her pain returned to zero. Three weeks after her initial PBM treatment she was able to take a week-long family vacation that included sitting in a car for 8 hours each way, hiking for 2 hours, and spending 4 hours in multi-level museum pain-free. The patient did not have an increase in pain during the family trip. She traveled with the TQ-Solo to address any pain that might arise with her increase in activity but she did not need to employ the device during the trip.

However, upon her return, she reported an injury to her shoulder, which was diagnosed as shoulder impingement due to poor posture during extended of inactivity. The patient received Graston Technique Therapy through our clinic to her shoulder as well as stretching and scapular stabilization exercises. The patient was instructed to complete a home exercise program consisting of stretching and scapular stabilizing exercises. Also, she was instructed to use the PBM to decrease the shoulder inflammation. The shoulder injury resolved within two weeks, restoring the patient to a pain-free status.

Study	Laser Wavelength (nm) and Class	Treatment protocol	Treatment Dose (J)	Treatment Frequency	Changes in Pain Level (0 to 10 scale)	Changes in # tender points (0 to19)	Changes in FIQ Score
Gur et al ¹²	904 nm Continuous Class 3b	3 min/ tender point	2 J/cm2 per tender point	10 treatments over 2 weeks	↓ 2 (3 to 1)	↓ 7 (13 to 6)	N/A
Armagan et al ¹¹	830 nm Continuous Class 3b	1 min/ tender point	2 J/ tender point	10 treatments over 2 weeks	N/A	↓ 2 (14 to 12)	↓ 7 (65 to 58)
Panton et al 13	810 nm 980 nm Class 4	1 min/ tender point	600 J/pt; 4200 J/treatment	8 treatments over 4 weeks	↓ 1 (7 to 6)	↓ 3 (14 to 11)	↓ 7 (62 to 55)
Presented case study	SPL 905 nm; IR 875 nm & Red 670 nm Class 3b	3 min/ tender point	16 J/ tender point	as needed	↓ 8 (8 to 0)	↓ 13 (13 to 0)	↓ 44 (75 to 31)

Table I. Previous Photobiomodulation Research Protocols for Treating Fibromyalgia and Results from the Literature.

(https://www.practicalpainmanagement.com/sites/default/files/imagecache/lightbox-large/images/2018/11/06/table1.jpg)



Figure 1. Case patient's reported pain level change on a 0 to 10 VAS scale during first 10 days of photobiomodulation treatment. On Days 4-8, the patient reported no pain and did not use the laser.

 $(https://www.practicalpainmanagement.com/sites/default/files/imagecache/lightbox-large/images/2018/11/06/fig1.jpg) \label{eq:large}$

Considerations in the Application of Photobiomodulation for Fibromyalgia

This patient case offers documented success of at-home PBM treatment of fibromyalgia syndrome. The patient had a complete reduction in pain levels and an increased ability to perform activities of daily living following one week of therapy.

Several previous studies have offered support in the application of laser light therapy as an effective treatment for pain in patients with

fibromyalgia; however, none of the earlier reports indicated complete remediation of pain.¹¹⁻¹³ Additionally, the changes achieved in past reports were modest in comparison to the significant pain resolution achieved in this patient (see Table I for a summary of previous research outcomes). The overall decrease in pain reported in the three studies ranged from 1 to 2 points, the number of tender points

decreased from between 1 and 7, and the drop in FIQ score was 7 points.¹¹⁻¹³ There are several differences in comparing previous studies and the current case that may explain the improvement in outcomes of pain reduction using PBM to treat this FS patient. Notable differences include:

the selection of wavelengths used to treat the sites of pain

- the treatment dose
- treatment protocol

frequency of treatments

selection of a different combination among these factors (see Table I).

Differences in PBM Wavelength and Frequency Protocols

Studies by Gur et al,¹² and Armagan et al,¹¹ used single wavelength laser diodes (904 nm and 830 nm respectfully) and the Panton et al,¹³ study used a dual wavelength emitter (810 nm and 980 nm).¹¹⁻¹³ Our team used a laser emitter employing three wavelengths: 905 nm, 875 nm, and 670 nm (red light). The 905 nm and 875 nm wavelengths are relatively close to the wavelengths used in the other studies, however, our emitter also utilized red light (670 nm), which does not penetrate as deeply as other wavelengths and is used primarily to treat superficial tissue, therefore affecting superficial nociceptors. One theory of the mechanism of fibromyalgia pain is in a hypersensitivity of type C nociceptors, six of which may explain the large decrease in pain experienced in this clinical case.

PBM outcomes followed a bi-phasic dose curve.¹⁷ Lower levels of PBM are known to have a stimulatory effect and at larger doses, will deliver an inhibitory effect. There is an optimal dose for stimulation and another optimal dose for inhibition.¹⁷ Both Armagan et al,¹¹ and Gur et al,¹² reported small changes in pain tender points and FIQ with a 2 J/cm2 dose per tender point, which may not have introduced enough energy to achieve the change that we were able to produce in our patient. On the contrary, Panton et al,¹² used a treatment

Photobiomodulation for the Treatment of Fibromyalgia

protocol with 600 J per point and 4200 J per treatment yielding similar results to Gur et al,¹² for changes in pain and to Armagan et al,¹¹ for decreases in FIQ score. This finding may have occurred due to the use of too much energy, resulting in a lower reduction in pain. In comparison, our team treated each point of pain with low-dose energy (42 J), which achieved a larger decrease in patient-reported pain. As such, while counter-intuitive, it seems that a lower dose, laser application may be sufficient to achieve complete pain reduction. The frequency of treatments and the selection of points to be treated may have also played a role in the very favorable outcome for our patient. While Panton et al, treated the same seven points regardless of their tenderness levels at a frequency of two days/week for four

weeks,¹² this may have led to treatment of unnecessary areas or that non-treatment of tender points that may have benefited from a PBM treatment were missed. The other aforementioned studies applied PBM only to selected tender points once daily for a total of 10 times over two weeks whereas our patient was able to self-treat each tender point daily on an as needed basis. Since her pain fully resolved after

four weeks, it appears there may be a cumulative effect, which was not seen in the other studies.^{11, 12}

Potential Physiological Mechanism at Play

There are several possible mechanisms that may offer insight regarding the successful use of photobiomodulation to decrease pain associated with fibromyalgia syndrome. First, the process in which PBM blocks C-fiber depolarization; Serra et al, reported that the superficial C-fiber nociceptors are hyperexcited or may be damaged in FS patients. PBM inhibits C-fiber depolarization, 18 which may help decrease the excitability of the fibers at normal resting levels. It is known that individuals with fibromyalgia have a decrease in

microcirculation at their tender point areas. Therefore, there may be a decrease in oxygen, such that pain arises due to hypoxia.⁴ PBM

stimulates the local release of nitric oxide, which is a vasodilator, thus, restoring balance in blood flow by increasing oxygen to the area.¹⁹ Therefore, another possible mechanism may arise from stimulating vasodilatation to produce a local release of nitric oxide. The results achieved in the patient case study suggest that PBM may effectively decrease the pain commonly arising in joints from fibromyalgia, and in turn, to restore the ability to carry out desirable activities of daily living. Clinically, it is important to caution patients to increase their physical activity slowly. As noted, our patient increased her activity level so quickly, after being inactive for 14 years, which resulted in an avoidable physical injury.

While we cannot conclude that home PBM treatment will be effective in addressing the pain and inactivity experienced by every patient with fibromyalgia based on this single case example, the results support the value of a larger randomized control study that includes a "sham" treatment to rule out a placebo effect and to determine if home PBM treatments using the protocol outlined by our team presents a viable and cost-effective non-pharmacological option for patients suffering from fibromyalgia syndrome.

View Sources (/pain/myofascial/photobiomodulation-treatment-fibromyalgia#fieldset)

Wolfe F, Hauser W. Fibromyalgia diagnosis and diagnostic criteria. Ann Med. 2011;43(7):495-502.

Morf S, Amann-Vesti B, Forster A, Franzeck UK, et al. Microcirculation abnormalities in patients with fibromyalgia - measured by capillary microscopy and laser fluxmetry. Arthritis Res Ther. 2005;7(2):R209-216.

Oaklander AL, Herzog ZD, Downs HM, Klein MM. Objective evidence that small-fiber polyneuropathy underlies some illnesses currently labeled as fibromyalgia. Pain. 2013;154(11):2310-2316.

Serra J, Collado A, Sola R, Antonelli F, et al. Hyperexcitable C nociceptors in fibromyalgia. Ann Neurol. 2014;75(2):196-208.

Panton LB, Kingsley JD, Toole T, et al. A comparison of physical functional performance and strength in women with fibromyalgia, ageand weight-matched controls, and older women who are healthy. Phys Ther. 2006;86(11):1479-1488.

Kingsley JD, Panton LB, Toole T, et al. The effects of a 12-week strength-training program on strength and functionality in women with fibromyalgia. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2005;86(9):1713-1721.

Peterson J. Understanding fibromyalgia and its treatment options. Nurse Pract. 2005;30(1):48-55; quiz 56-47.

Berger A, Sadosky A, Dukes EM, et al. Patterns of healthcare utilization and cost in patients with newly diagnosed fibromyalgia. Am J Manag Care. 2010;16(5 Suppl):S126-137.

Armagan O, Tascioglu F, Ekim A, Oner C. Long-term efficacy of low level laser therapy in women with fibromyalgia: A placebo-controlled study. J Back Musculol Rehab. 2006;19(4):135-140.

Gur A, Karakoc M, Nas K, et al. Efficacy of low power laser therapy in fibromyalgia: a single-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Lasers Med Sci. 2002;17(1):57-61.

Panton L, Simonavice E, Williams K, et al. Effects of Class IV laser therapy on fibromyalgia impact and function in women with fibromyalgia. J Altern Complement Med. 2013;19(5):445-452.

Bidari A, Hassanzadeh M, Ghavidel Parsa B, et al. Validation of the 2010 American College of Rheumatology preliminary diagnostic criteria for fibromyalgia in an Iranian population. Rheumatol Int. 2013;33(12):2999-3007.

Williams DA, Arnold LM. Measures of fibromyalgia: Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire (FIQ), Brief Pain Inventory (BPI), Multidimensional Fatigue Inventory (MFI-20), Medical Outcomes Study (MOS) Sleep Scale, and Multiple Ability Self-Report Questionnaire (MASQ). Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken). 2011;63 Suppl 11:S86-97.

Photobiomodulation for the Treatment of Fibromyalgia

Bennett R. The Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire (FIQ): a review of its development, current version, operating characteristics and uses. Clin Exp Rheumatol. 2005;23(5 Suppl 39):S154-162.

Huang YY, Sharma SK, Carroll J, Hamblin MR. Biphasic dose response in low level light therapy - an update. Dose Response. 2011;9(4):602-618.

Chow R, Armati P, Laakso EL, Bjordal JM, Baxter GD. Inhibitory effects of laser irradiation on peripheral mammalian nerves and relevance to analgesic effects: a systematic review. Photomed Laser Surg. 2011;29(6):365-381.

J.Turner, L.Hode. The New Laser Therapy Handbook. Grangesberg, Sweden: Prima Books; 2010.

Last updated on: November 7, 2018

Continue Reading:

New Insights in Understanding Chronic, Central Pain

Subscribe to "Pain Monitor", a PPM eNewsletter for HCPs

If you are not a healthcare professional click here to subscribe to our patient newsletter.

Practical Pain Management is a Remedy Health Media, LLC web property. Please add updates@practicalpainmanagement.com to your address book to ensure delivery.

close X O SHOW MAIN MENU **⊙** SHOW SUB MENU Types of Pain Acute Pain Post-surgical Pain Sports and Overuse Injury Trauma Cancer PainHeadache Cluster Headache Migraine Post-trauma Headache **Tension Headache** Neuropathic Pain Carpal Tunnel Syndrome CRPS/RSD/Causalgia **Diabetic Neuropathy Multiple Sclerosis** Phantom Limb Syndrome Postherpetic Neuralgia Trigeminal Neuralgia Oral and Maxillofacial Pain TMD Rheumatologic and Myofascial Pain Fibromyalgia Inflammatory Arthritis Lupus and Other Autoimmune Disorders Lyme and Other Infectious Diseases Osteoarthritis **Plantar Fasciitis** Spine Pain **Discogenic Pain** Myofascial Back Pain Radiculopathy Trauma Other Types of Pain

Photobiomodulation for the Treatment of Fibromyalgia

Abdominal and Pelvis Pain Brain Injury Ehlers-Danlos Syndrome General Musculoskeletal Pain Pain Co-morbidities Pain Treatments Addiction Medicine Drug Monitoring/Screening Medication-Assisted Treatment (MAT) Opioid Use Disorder (OUD) **Complementary Treatments** Acupuncture Biobehavioral Homeopathy Lasers Magnets Prolotherapy Interventional Pain Management Ablations Injections Pumps Stimulators Manipulation and Massage Massage Osteopathic Pharmacological Marijuana/Cannabis Non-opioids/OTC Opioids Tapering Psychological Biofeedback Cognitive-behavioral Therapy Rehabilitation Exercise Physical Therapy Resources Side Chats (PPM Podcasts)Clinical Practice GuidelinesDiagnostic TestsEthics and LegalClinical ResourcesHospice/Palliative CareNews and ResearchOpioid Prescribing and Monitoring - Second EditionOpioid Prescribing and Monitoring - First EditionPractice Management Literature Review Past Issues **VISIT OUR PATIENT SITE Types of Pain** Acute Pain **Cancer** Pain Headache Neuropathic Pain Oral and Maxillofacial Pain Rheumatologic and Myofascial Pain Fibromyalgia Inflammatory Arthritis Lupus and Other Autoimmune Disorders

Photobiomodulation for the Treatment of Fibromyalgia

Lyme and Other Infectious Diseases Osteoarthritis Plantar Fasciitis Spine Pain Other Types of Pain